



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patentee: Harris et al. Art Unit: 1614

Serial No.: 10/717,217 Examiner: Raymond J. Henley III

Filed: November 18, 2003 Confirm. No.: 6038

Patent No.: 7,504,376

Issued : March 17, 2009

Title : METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR INCREASING THE

ANAEROBIC WORKING CAPACITY IN TISSUES

MAIL STOP OFFICE OF PETITIONS / OPLA

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.705(D)

Dear Sir:

Patentee hereby petitions for reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) accorded the above-referenced patent at time of issuance. In view of the recent decision issued by the United State District Court for the District of Columbia in Wyeth v. Dudas, No. 07-1492, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008), reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment days to increase the total PTA by 525 days from 493 days to 1,018 days respectfully is requested.

As reflected in the file history, in good faith and candor, Patentee filed a Letter Regarding Patent Term Adjustment at Allowance Pursuant to Comment 43 of the Final Rule on December 12, 2008, which was granted February 03, 2009, thereby adjusting and

CERTIFICATE OF MARIARDE BY SEEPRESS MAN 18 021818 7504376
"Express Mail" Mailing Laber San 18 020818 7504376
Date of Deposit: May 1st 200955 200.00 DA

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR §1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Mail Stop Petitions, Commissioner for Patents, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450.

Jon Levy

Attorney's Docket No.: 1000141-00155 / 1412E Request for Reconsideration of PTA

Patentee: Harris et al. Serial No.: 10/717,217

Filed : November 18, 2003

that there is a 48 day overlap between the days accorded under §154(b)(1)(A) and §154(b)(1)(B) because the first Office Action was received 48 days after the three year time period prescribed in §154(b)(1)(B). Therefore, these overlapping 48 days have been subtracted from the total 534 PTO delay days under 35 U.S.C. §154(b)(1)(B) to yield 486 PTO delay days under §154(b)(1)(B).

In accord with the ruling set forth in Wyeth, Patentee respectfully submits that Patentee in entitled to 717 PTO delay days under 35 U.S.C. §154(b)(1)(A) and 486 PTO delay days under 35 U.S.C. §154(b)(1)(B) for a total of 1,203 PTO delay days. There were 185 APPL delay days in this application. Thus, the PTA for this patent should be:

PTO delay (717 plus 486) minus APPL delay (185) = 1.018 days. Only 493 PTO delay days were accorded this patent at allowance. Therefore, Patentee is entitled to an additional 525 days of PTA in accord with the ruling set forth in Wyeth.

In summary, and in consideration of the foregoing, Patentee respectfully requests correction of the calculation of Total PTA, under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.705 and 35 U.S.C. §154 in view of Wyeth, to include the 486 PTO delay days accumulated under 35 U.S.C. §154(b)(1)(B) after January 05, 2007 (1st Office Action) until May 6, 2008 (RCE), resulting in a Total PTA of 1,018 days instead of the 493 days currently accorded this patent.

Patentee believes that no fee is due for the filing of this request. Any fees that may be due in connection with the filing of this paper should be charged to Deposit Account No. 02-1818.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephanie Seidman Reg. No. 33,779

Attorney Docket No. 1000141-00155 / 1412E Address all correspondence to: 77202 Stephanie Seidman K & L Gates LLP 3580 Carmel Mountain Rd, Ste 200 San Diego, CA 92130-6766 Telephone: (858) 509-7410

Facsimile: (858) 509-7466

email: stephanie.seidman@klgates.com